

Complete Pseudoparticle Representation and the Frequency-Dependent Conductivity of the Hubbard chain

J. M. P. Carmelo¹, N. M. R. Peres^{1,2}, and D. K. Campbell²

¹ *Department of Physics, University of Évora, Apartado 94, P-7001 Évora Codex, Portugal*

² *Department of Physics, UIUC, 1110 West Green Street, Urbana, IL 61801-3080*

(Received 12 August 1996)

We use a complete pseudoparticle operator representation to study the explicit form of the finite-frequency conductivity for the Hubbard chain. Our study reveals that the spectral weight is mostly concentrated at the $\omega = 0$ Drude peak (except at density $n = 1$) and at an absorption starting just below twice the value of the chemical potential whose ω -dependence we evaluate exactly. We also obtain the exact ω dependence of the higher-energy, less-pronounced absorption edges, whose weights vanish for large on-site interaction U .

PACS numbers: 72.15. Nj, 05.30. Fk, 72.90.+y, 03.65. Ca

The unusual spectral properties of electronic Luttinger liquids [1] imply that they cannot be described by one-electron, Fermi-liquid-like models. Further, descriptions of these quantum systems in terms of exotic excitations such as holons and spinons, or pseudoparticles have been limited to the low-energy Hilbert subspace, and both bosonization and standard conformal-field theory techniques also apply only in that limit. On the other hand, the unusual finite-frequency transport properties observed in low-dimensional materials are far from being well understood. Thus developing a microscopic operator description of the finite-frequency/energy physics of low-dimensional electronic quantum liquids remains an important challenge.

In this Letter we show that, for the *integrable* Luttinger liquid associated with the Hubbard chain [2,3] in a magnetic field, the generalized pseudoparticle operator representation introduced recently in Ref. [4] provides the desired microscopic description for the finite-frequency/energy transport quantities. We find that the excitations that dominate the frequency-dependent conductivity at finite energies involve a small density of excited pseudoparticles. Using the generalized pseudoparticle algebra we obtain, in addition for the Drude peak (for the non-half-filled case), explicit expressions for a prominent feature that sets in with a power law at $\omega \simeq 2|\mu| - \delta$, where μ is the chemical potential and $\delta \ll |\mu|$ is a small positive energy we define below (which vanishes at large U and at half filling for all values of U). We also obtain expressions for the less-pronounced, higher-energy absorptions of the conductivity spectrum. The fact that at *all* energy scales there is only zero-momentum pseudoparticle forward scattering in the Hubbard chain confirms the recent indications that the integrability of BA solvable many-electron problems is closely related to peculiar spectral and transport properties [5]. Although this exact property holds only for *integrable* systems, we believe that our pseudoparticle operator description will provide the most suitable starting point for description of the unusual finite-frequency transport properties of both integrable and non-integrable electronic Luttinger liquids.

We consider the Hubbard chain [2,3] in a magnetic field H and chemical potential μ [2,3,6–8], $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_{SO(4)} + 2\mu\hat{S}_z^c + 2\mu_0 H\hat{S}_z^s$, where

$$\hat{H}_{SO(4)} = -t \sum_{j,\sigma} [c_{j\sigma}^\dagger c_{j+1\sigma} + c_{j+1\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma}] + U \sum_j [c_{j\uparrow}^\dagger c_{j\uparrow} - \frac{1}{2}][c_{j\downarrow}^\dagger c_{j\downarrow} - \frac{1}{2}]. \quad (1)$$

The diagonal generators of the η -spin and spin $SU(2)$ algebra are [4] $\hat{S}_z^c = -\frac{1}{2}[N_a - \sum_\sigma \hat{N}_\sigma]$ and $\hat{S}_z^s = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_\sigma \sigma \hat{N}_\sigma$, respectively. Here we introduced the notations $\eta = S^c$ and $S = S^s$ for η -spin and spin, respectively, $c_{j\sigma}^\dagger$ and $c_{j\sigma}$ are electron operators of spin projection σ at site j , $\hat{N}_\sigma = \sum_j c_{j\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma}$, and N_a , t , U , μ , H , and μ_0 are the number of sites, the transfer integral, the on-site Coulomb interaction, the chemical potential, the magnetic field, and the Bohr magneton, respectively. We consider the σ electronic density $n_\sigma = \frac{N_\sigma}{N_a}$, the electronic density $n = n_\uparrow + n_\downarrow$, the spin density $m = n_\uparrow - n_\downarrow$, and the σ Fermi momentum $k_{F\sigma} = \pi n_\sigma$. For simplicity we restrict our study to densities $0 < n \leq 1$ and spin densities $0 < m \leq n$.

The recently introduced generalized pseudoparticle operator representation refers to the whole Hilbert space of the Hubbard chain [4] and involves the α, γ pseudoparticles with quantum numbers α and γ such that $\alpha = c, s$ and $\gamma = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty$. The heavy pseudoparticles have $\gamma > 0$ (whose bands have energy gaps) whereas the light $\alpha, 0$ pseudoparticles are nothing but the usual α pseudoparticles of the low-energy pseudoparticle theory [6,7]. Following Refs. [4,8], as an alternative to the $\alpha, 0$ pseudoparticles, one can refer to their holes, which are called pseudoholes. The use of the pseudohole representation is required for the description in the present operator basis of the six generators of the η -spin and spin algebras. However, since the current operator associated with the conductivity spectrum commutes with all these generators, it projects the ground state (GS) onto a Hilbert subspace spanned by the lowest-weight states (LWS's) of these algebras. In that space $S_z^\alpha = -S^\alpha$ and we can omit the pseudohole representation and express all quantities in terms of α, γ pseudoparticles (with $\gamma = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) only.

There are an infinite number of conservation laws associated with the pseudoparticle numbers $N_{\alpha,\gamma}$, each Hamiltonian eigenstate having fixed values for these numbers. The α, γ pseudoparticles have creation (annihilation) operator $b_{q,\alpha,\gamma}^\dagger$ ($b_{q,\alpha,\gamma}$) and obey an anti-commuting algebra. The pseudomomentum q discrete values read $q_j = \frac{2\pi}{N_a} I_j^{\alpha,\gamma}$ where the quantum numbers $I_j^{\alpha,\gamma}$ can be integers or half-odd integers depending on the parities of the

pseudoparticle numbers. The excitations associated with the changes in the integer or half-odd-integer character of these numbers are generated by the topological-momentum-shift operators whose expressions in terms of pseudoparticle operators are given in Refs. [4,8]. These play an important role in the GS transitions we discuss below.

In our Hilbert subspace we have that $S_z^c = -\frac{1}{2}[N_a - N_{c,0} - \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} 2\gamma N_{c,\gamma}]$, $S_z^s = -\frac{1}{2}[N_{c,0} - 2N_{s,0} - \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} 2(1 + \gamma)N_{s,\gamma}]$, and the total-momentum eigenvalue is $P = \sum_{q,\alpha} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{\infty} q C_{\alpha,\gamma} N_{\alpha,\gamma}(q) + \pi \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} (1 + \gamma) N_{c,\gamma}$, where $C_{c,\gamma} = -1$ for $\gamma > 0$ and $C_{\alpha,\gamma} = 1$ otherwise. The pseudoparticles have bands $\epsilon_{\alpha,\gamma}^0(q)$ and group velocities $v_{\alpha,\gamma}(q) = \frac{d\epsilon_{\alpha,\gamma}^0(q)}{dq}$ and interact only via zero-momentum forward scattering associated with the pseudoparticle-Hamiltonian f functions. The f -function expression involves the phase shifts $\Phi_{\alpha,\gamma;\alpha',\gamma'}(q, q')$ and the pseudoparticle velocities. (The expressions of these quantities are given in Ref. [4].) The “light” velocities $v_{\alpha,\gamma} \equiv v_{\alpha,\gamma}(q_{F\alpha,\gamma})$, where the pseudo-Fermi momentum is $q_{F\alpha,\gamma} = \frac{\pi N_{\alpha,\gamma}}{N_a}$, and the parameters

$$\xi_{\alpha,\gamma;\alpha',\gamma'}^j = \delta_{\alpha,\alpha'} \delta_{\gamma,\gamma'} + \sum_{l=\pm 1} l^j \Phi_{\alpha,\gamma;\alpha',\gamma'}(q_{F\alpha,\gamma}, l q_{F\alpha',\gamma'}) , \quad (2)$$

with $j = 0, 1$ play a central role in the quantum-liquid physics. The parameters (2) are simple combinations of the two-pseudoparticle forward-scattering phase shifts [4]. The relevant excitations which contribute to the conductivity involve a small density of pseudoparticles. For these excitations the ratios $\frac{\Delta N_{\alpha,0}}{N_a}$ and $\frac{N_{\alpha,\gamma}}{N_a}$ for $\gamma > 0$ are small, and the parameters (2) are such that $\xi_{\alpha,0;\alpha',0}^j = \xi_{\alpha\alpha'}^j$, $\xi_{\alpha,\gamma;\alpha',\gamma'}^j = \delta_{\alpha,\alpha'} \delta_{\gamma,\gamma'}$, and $\xi_{\alpha,0;\alpha',\gamma'}^1 = \xi_{\alpha,\gamma;\alpha',0}^0 = 0$. Here $\gamma, \gamma' > 0$ and $\xi_{\alpha\alpha'}^j$ are the low-energy parameters defined in the second paper of Ref. [6]. Moreover, $\xi_{\alpha,0;\alpha',\gamma'}^0 = 2\Phi_{\alpha,0;\alpha',\gamma'}(q_{F\alpha,0}, 0)$ and $\xi_{\alpha,\gamma;\alpha',0}^1 = 2\Phi_{\alpha,\gamma;\alpha',0}(0, q_{F\alpha',0})$.

At finite magnetization and away of half filling, all low-energy Hamiltonian eigenstates correspond to empty α, γ bands for $\gamma > 0$. Each canonical ensemble (with constant $N_{\uparrow}$ and $N_{\downarrow}$ electron numbers) contains different *sub-canonical ensembles* characterized by different sets of constant $N_{\alpha,\gamma}$ pseudoparticle numbers. In our parameter space these numbers obey the sum rules $N = N_{\uparrow} + N_{\downarrow} = N_{c,0} + \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} 2\gamma N_{c,\gamma}$ and $N_{\uparrow} - N_{\downarrow} = N_{c,0} - 2N_{s,0} - \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} 2(1 + \gamma)N_{s,\gamma}$. The Hamiltonian(s) eigenstate(s) of lowest energy in each Hilbert subspace with

constant $N_{\alpha,\gamma}$ numbers was called in Ref. [4] generalized ground state (GGS). This is always a α, γ pseudoparticle filled pseudo-Fermi sea. [The ground state (GS) is a particular case of GGS where $N_{\alpha,\gamma} = 0$ for $\gamma > 0$.]

A remarkable property is that the transition between a GS and *any* Hamiltonian eigenstate can be separated into two types of excitations: (a) a topological GS – GGS transition which involves the creation or annihilation of pseudoparticles as well as the occurrence of topological-momentum shifts and (b) a *Landau-liquid* excitation [7,8] associated with pseudoparticle - pseudohole processes relative to the GGS. In general the topological transitions (a) are basically superpositions of three kinds of elementary transitions: (i) GS - GS transitions involving changes in the σ electron numbers by one, (ii) transitions which lead to non-LWS's and non-HWS's outside the BA and are described by the pseudoholes of Refs. [4,8] – these transitions cannot be induced by the current operator and lead to states outside our Hilbert subspace – and (iii) creation of single α, γ pseudoparticles at constant values of η , η_z , S , and S_z . While the transitions (i) are gapless, the elementary excitations (ii) and (iii) require a finite amount of energy. In the case of the latter excitations the gaps, $\omega_0 = E_{GGS}^0 - E_{GS}^0$, where E_{GGS}^0 and E_{GS}^0 are the GGS and GS energy, respectively, are for small densities $\frac{N_{\alpha,\gamma}}{N_a}$ (with $\gamma > 0$) given by [4]

$$\omega_0 = 2|\mu| \sum_{\gamma=1} \gamma N_{c,\gamma} + 2\mu_0 |H| \sum_{\gamma=1} (1 + \gamma) N_{s,\gamma} + \sum_{\alpha,\gamma=1} \epsilon_{\alpha,\gamma}^0(0) N_{\alpha,\gamma}. \quad (3)$$

The generators of the excitations (i) were studied in Ref. [8] and the ones of (ii) involve only topological-momentum shifts and pseudohole processes which describe either creation of electron pairs or spin flip processes. The important transitions for the conductivity are of type (iii). Consider as an example of such excitation the GS - GGS transition corresponding to the creation of one zero-momentum c, γ pseudoparticle which we find below to be the relevant elementary process for the finite-frequency conductivity. The zero-momentum condition requires γ to be odd. Such transition involves one $s \equiv s, 0$ topological-momentum shift of momentum $k = \pm k_{F\downarrow}$, a zero-momentum excitation involving the annihilation of a number 2γ of $c, 0$ pseudoparticles, a $k = \mp k_{F\downarrow}$ excitation associated with the annihilation

of a number γ of $s, 0$ pseudoparticles, and the creation of one c, γ pseudoparticle at $q = 0$.

The infinity of pseudoparticle-number conservation laws implies that, for Lorentz invariance, each α, γ channel is an independent problem. Therefore, the study of the general pseudoparticle-energy spectrum of Ref. [4] as $k, (\omega - \omega_0) \rightarrow 0$ reveals that the problem becomes critical, leading to a small momentum k and low-energy $(\omega - \omega_0)$ conformal-field theory [9]. Furthermore, this reveals that the relevant GS transitions which dominate the charge -charge (and spin - spin) correlation functions at $k = 0$ occur at low-energy $(\omega - \omega_0)$, with the possible ω_0 values given in Eq. (3).

We can write the charge-current operator as $\hat{J} = \hat{J}_d + \hat{J}_{off}$, where $\hat{J}_d = \sum_l \langle l | \hat{J} | l \rangle | l \rangle \langle l |$ and $\hat{J}_{off} = \sum_{l \neq l'} \langle l | \hat{J} | l' \rangle | l \rangle \langle l' |$, and the summations run over all Hamiltonian eigenstates. By applying a vector potential, we can derive the expression for $\hat{J}_d$. As at low energy [6], the pseudoparticles are the transport carriers at all energy scales and the electronic degrees of freedom couple to the potential through them. We find that the couplings of the α, γ pseudoparticles to the potential read $-e\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\gamma}^\rho$ where $-e$ is the electronic charge, the superscript ρ indicates the coupling to charge, and $\mathcal{C}_{c,\gamma}^\rho = \delta_{\gamma,0} + 2\gamma$ and $\mathcal{C}_{s,\gamma}^\rho = 0$. Like the normal-ordered pseudoparticle Hamiltonian introduced in Ref. [4], the GS-normal-ordered expression for $\hat{J}_d$ has an infinite number of terms, : $\hat{J}_d := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \hat{J}_d^{(i)}$. In this case only the first-order term is relevant at low positive energy $(\omega - \omega_0)$. It reads

$$\hat{J}_d^{(1)} = -e \sum_{q,\alpha} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{\infty} j_{\alpha,\gamma}(q) : \hat{N}_{\alpha,\gamma}(q) :, \quad (4)$$

where the pseudoparticle elementary charge currents are given by

$$j_{\alpha,\gamma}(q) = \sum_{\alpha'} \sum_{\gamma'=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}_{\alpha',\gamma'}^\rho \left[v_{\alpha,\gamma}(q) \delta_{\alpha,\alpha'} \delta_{\gamma,\gamma'} + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j=\pm 1} j f_{\alpha,\gamma;\alpha',\gamma'}(q, j q_{F\alpha',\gamma'}) \right], \quad (5)$$

and involve only the group velocities and f functions. The pseudoparticle current $j_{\alpha,\gamma} \equiv j_{\alpha,\gamma}(q_{F\alpha,\gamma})$ and charge transport mass

$$m_{\alpha,\gamma}^\rho \equiv \frac{q_{F\alpha,\gamma}}{\mathcal{C}_{\alpha,\gamma}^\rho j_{\alpha,\gamma}}, \quad (6)$$

such that $m_{c,\gamma}^\rho = q_{F\alpha,\gamma}/[(\delta_{\gamma,0} + 2\gamma)j_{\alpha,\gamma}]$ and $m_{s,\gamma}^\rho = \infty$, play central roles in charge transport. (The $\gamma = 0$ expressions recover the results of [6].)

The frequency-dependent conductivity, $\text{Re}\sigma(\omega)$, involves transitions induced by $\hat{J}_{off}$. For the Hubbard model at intermediate and large values of U (in any dimension), it consists in principle of three different pieces: (1) the Drude absorption peak (absent for $n = 1$), (2) a finite-frequency absorption associated with creation of new doubly-occupied sites, and (3) an absorption continuum between the Drude peak and absorption (2) (absent for $n = 1$). However, numerical studies show that (in contrast to 2D) the 1D Hubbard model has only an extremely small type-(3) absorption [10]. Since the conductivity sum rule [11,12] proves that there is spectral weight away of the Drude peak, it must be mostly concentrated in the absorption (2). This picture is confirmed by our exact study. Although we have not derived a closed-form expression for $\hat{J}_{off}$, our operator basis does provide important information about the conductivity spectrum: namely, 1) The occurrence of only forward scattering in the Landau-liquid term of the pseudoparticle Hamiltonian is consistent with the extremely small type-(3) absorption found numerically [10–12] and indicates that the topological finite-energy transitions (a) [followed by excitations (b)] are relevant for that spectrum at finite energy; 2) That the current commutes with η^2 , $\mathbf{S}^2$, $\hat{\eta}_z$, and $\hat{S}_z$ restricts the relevant topological excitations (a) to superpositions of elementary transitions (iii); 3) That the s, γ pseudoparticles do not couple to charge further limits the transitions (iii) to the ones associated with creation of c, γ pseudoparticles and the important contributions to the conductivity spectrum occur for small values of ω and for values of ω just above the finite frequencies of general form

$$\omega_0 = \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} (2\gamma|\mu| + \epsilon_{c,\gamma}^0(0)) N_{c,\gamma}; \quad (7)$$

4) Since the conductivity spectrum corresponds to $k = 0$ momentum excitations, only GS - GGS transitions such that $\sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} (1 + \gamma) N_{c,\gamma}$ is even are allowed; 5) Finally, based on the fully non-dissipative character of the pseudoparticle interactions at all energy scales combined with the occurrence of the infinite pseudoparticle-number conservation laws associated with the above small- k and low- $(\omega - \omega_0)$ generalized conformal-field theory [9], we could evaluate the charge - charge correlation function, $\chi(k, \omega)$, at small k and ω just above the ω_0 values

(7). For small ω the charge - charge correlation function leads to the Drude peak [6,11,12] only, $(-e)^2 2\pi [q_{Fc,0}/m_{c,0}^\rho] \delta(\omega)$. In order to evaluate the conductivity spectrum $\text{Re}\sigma(\omega)$ we use its relation to the charge-charge correlation function, $\text{Re}\sigma(\omega) \propto \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{\omega \chi(k, \omega)}{k^2}$. We have evaluated for low positive energies $(\omega - \omega_0)$ the following conductivity general expression for type-(2) absorption:

$$\text{Re}\sigma(\omega) = C(\{N_{c,\gamma}\}) (\omega - \omega_0)^\zeta, \quad (8)$$

where ω_0 is given by Eq. (7), $\{N_{c,\gamma}\}$ stands for the set of numbers $N_{c,1}, N_{c,2}, \dots, N_{c,\infty}$, and the critical exponent reads

$$\zeta = -2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} [N_{c,\gamma}]^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha} \left(\sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} [\gamma(2\xi_{\alpha c}^0 + \xi_{\alpha s}^0) - \xi_{\alpha,0;c,\gamma}^0] N_{c,\gamma} \right)^2. \quad (9)$$

The constant $C(\{N_{c,\gamma}\})$ is such that $C(\{N_{c,\gamma}\}) \rightarrow 0$ in the limits $U \rightarrow 0$, $U \rightarrow \infty$ for $m = 0$, and $m \rightarrow n$. This is because in these limits the Drude peak exhausts the conductivity sum rule [11,12]. Moreover, at large $U \gg 4t$ and $m = 0$, $C(\{N_{c,\gamma}\}) \rightarrow 0$ except for $N_{c,1} = 1$ and $N_{c,\gamma} = 0$ when $\gamma > 1$, $C(N_{c,1} = 1)$ remaining finite. This follows from the fact that in that limit the GS normal-ordered double occupation operator : $\hat{D} := \hat{D} - \langle GS | \hat{D} | GS \rangle$, where $\hat{D} = c_{j\uparrow}^\dagger c_{j\uparrow} c_{j\downarrow}^\dagger c_{j\downarrow}$, reads in the pseudoparticle basis

$$: \hat{D} := \sum_{\gamma=1}^{\infty} \gamma \hat{N}_{c,\gamma}, \quad U \gg 4t. \quad (10)$$

On the other hand, since in this limit the electric-current operator only induces GS transitions associated with doubly-occupancy changes $\Delta D = 0$ and $\Delta D = 1$, it follows that the only GS - GGS transition allowed is the creation of one $c,1$ pseudoparticle. It is this selection rule which justifies that at large U the only finite-energy absorption in the frequency-dependent conductivity is generated by such transition followed by pseudoparticle - pseudohole processes around the GGS. (For large U such elementary processes create one doubly-occupied site.) In addition, for arbitrary values of U expression (9) shows that for all allowed c, γ GS - GGS transitions $\zeta > 1$ *except* for the one- $c,1$ -pseudoparticle absorption edge, for which $\frac{1}{2} \leq \zeta < 1$. For instance, for the transition creating two $c,1$

pseudoparticles the exponent (9) is such that $\frac{14}{2} \leq \zeta < 9$. Since the absorption associated with other energies and excitations is extremely small, we thus conclude that in the 1D model [in contrast to the 2D case where the absorption (3) is important [10]] the spectral weight is mostly concentrated at the $\omega = 0$ Drude peak (for $n \neq 1$) and at an absorption starting at $\omega \sim 2|\mu| - \delta$, where $\delta = -\epsilon_{c,1}^0(0)$. Here the conductivity shows a Luttinger-liquid band edge with infinite slope at $\omega = 2|\mu| - \delta$. For fixed values of U and m , $2|\mu|$ changes between $2|\mu| = \Delta_{MH}$ for $n \rightarrow 1$ and a maximum value of $2|\mu| = \Delta_{MH} + 8t$ for $n \rightarrow 0$, where Δ_{MH} is the half-filled Mott-Hubbard gap [2] (which is an increasing function of U and m). On the other hand, δ changes between $\delta = 0$ for $n \rightarrow 1$ and a maximum value for $n \rightarrow 0$. Based on the form of the $c, 1$ excitation spectrum we predict the above absorption to have a width W such that $0 < W \leq 8t$. W vanishes for $n \rightarrow 0$ and reaches its maximum value, $W = 8t$, for $n \rightarrow 1$. This $n = 1$ result and the fact that for all densities and large U only the one- $c, 1$ -pseudoparticle absorption is present in the conductivity spectrum at finite frequencies, fully agrees with the numerical studies of Refs. [13,14]. [For smaller values of U , considerably smaller weight exists at the remaining absorptions of higher energy and vanishing slope; the slope also vanishes for the extremely small absorption (3), which for the doped Mott-Hubbard insulator and $\omega \rightarrow 0$, is predicted to have an $\omega^{\frac{3}{2}}$ onset [10].] The one- $c, 1$ -pseudoparticle absorption edge exponent approaches its minimal value, $\zeta = \frac{1}{2}$, when the amount of spectral weight away of the Drude peak is largest (at zero field and finite U , $\zeta = \frac{1}{2}$ for half filling) and $\zeta \rightarrow 1$ in the limits $U \rightarrow \infty$ (at $m = 0$) and $m \rightarrow n$ when all spectral weight is transferred to the Drude peak [and the absorption (2) disappears]. It follows from the above analysis that at half filling, $m = 0$, finite values of U , and small values of $\omega - \Delta_{MH}$ the $c, 1$ transitions lead to an absorption edge, $\text{Re}\sigma(\omega) = C(N_{c,1} = 1)\sqrt{\omega - \Delta_{MH}}$. (Due to the absence of Drude peak at $n = 1$, the corresponding absorption contains most of the conductivity spectral weight.)

We thank J.M.B. Lopes dos Santos and J. Tinka Gammel for illuminating discussions and the US NSF (Grant DMR-8920538) for partial support. N.M.R.P. thanks the Luso-American and Gulbenkian Foundations for financial support.

REFERENCES

- ¹ F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **45**, 1358 (1980); J. Phys. C. **14**, 2585 (1981)
- ² Elliott H. Lieb and F. Y. Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **20**, 1445 (1968).
- ³ M. Takahashi, Prog. Theor. Phys. **47**, 69 (1972).
- ⁴ J. M. P. Carmelo and N. M. R. Peres, to appear in Phys. Rev. B.
- ⁵ H. Castella, X. Zotos, and P. Prelovsek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 972 (1995).
- ⁶ J. M. P. Carmelo and P. Horsch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 871 (1992); J. M. P. Carmelo, P. Horsch, and A. A. Ovchinnikov, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 14 728 (1992).
- ⁷ J. M. P. Carmelo, A. H. Castro Neto, and D. K. Campbell, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 926 (1994); *ibid* **74**, 3089(E) (1995).
- ⁸ J. M. P. Carmelo and N. M. R. Peres, Nucl. Phys. B **458**, 579 (1996); J. M. P. Carmelo and A. H. Castro Neto, Phys. Rev. B **54**, 11 230 (1996).
- ⁹ J. M. P. Carmelo, preprint.
- ¹⁰ W. Stephan and P. Horsch, Phys. Rev. B **42**, 8736 (1990); P. Horsch and W. Stephan, *ibid* **48**, 10 595 (1993).
- ¹¹ D. Baeriswyl, J. Carmelo, and A. Luther, Phys. Rev. B **33**, 7247 (1986).
- ¹² X. Zotos, P. Prelovsek, and I. Sega, Phys. Rev. B **42**, 8445 (1990); H. Schulz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 2831 (1990).
- ¹³ R. M. Fye, M. J. Martins, D. J. Scalapino, J. Wagner, and W. Hanke, Phys. Rev. B **44**, 6909 (1991).
- ¹⁴ E. Y. Loh, JR. and D. K. Campbell, Synth. Metals **27**, A499 (1988).